MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

SIXTY-FIFTH DAY.

Thursday, 3rd October 1963.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at Thirty Minutes past Twelve of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA, B.A.,B.L.) in the Chair.

MR. SPEAKER.—I suppose there has been the facility for the Hon'ble Members being inoculated. I suppose there is no trouble.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

Complaints by the Ayurvedic Practitioners' Association, South Kanara.

*Q.—145. SRI M. SRINIVASA NAIK (Mangalore-1).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they have received any complaints by they Ayurvedic Practitioners' Association, South Kanara, regarding the alterations made in the Ayurvedic Practitioners' Licence Form by Deputy Commissioners of South Kanara prescribing certain conditions therein much against Government Order No. PHS 9 ERT 62, dated 15th October 1962;

(*b*) whether such alterations and fixation of quotas have been made in other districts of the State;

(*c*) whether Revenue and Excise Officials have been authorised by the Government to enforce the rules under the Licence;

(*d*) whether Medicinal and Toilet Preparation Rules are to be amended by the Central Government alone and not by the State Government?

A.—Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Minister for Law).—

(*a*) Complaints have been received, but they are baseless. The Deputy Commissioner of South Kanara has not made any alterations in the Licence Form. No Government Order such as is mentioned has been issued.

(*b*) Does not arise.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Medicinal and Toilet Preparation Rules are to be amended by the Government of India and not by the State Government.

Sri M. SRINIVASA NAIK.—It is stated in the answer that the Deputy Commissioner of South Kanara has not made any alterations in the Licence Form. The ayurvedic practitioners have referred to Government that changes have been made. Under the circumstances will the Government consider changing the licence and the rules as required by the Ayurvedic Practitioners of South Kanara ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Answer to (*c*) will answer that supplementary question. They have said that these rules have to be amended by the Central Government and not by the State Government.

Sri M. SRINIVASA NAIK.—In the enforcement of these rules by the officials of the Excise and Revenue Departments, it is reported that harassment is caused to Ayurvedic practitioners.

†Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—No, Sir. There is no harassment. I may assure the Hon'ble Member that no harassment is either intended or has been practised. The fact of the matter is, that the Ayurvedic practitioners would appear to regard that the requirement, that preparations which they intend to manufacture should be specified in the applications which they have to make for obtaining supplies of rectified spirit ought not to be insisted upon. But that cannot be done.

Sri M. SRINIVASA NAIK.—Will the Government be pleased to enquire into such matters if such cases are brought to notice ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Most assuredly. Any case of alleged harassment will most certainly be looked into by the Government.

Seniority list of officials of Mysore State Electricity Board.

*Q.—682. Sri T. R. PARAMESHWARIAH (Tarikere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the seniority list of officials of Mysore State Electricity Board was prepared in 1960-61 ;

(*b*) if so, whether they have called for any objections while preparing such list ;

---

†Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.